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Both Casey’s and Kane’s writing are quite different from each other. Casey’s writing is more informative than Kane’s. Throughout his Casey goes more into depth on the subjects he addresses where as Kane only scratches the surface. Still, Kane’s writing is descriptive in its own way; it provides powerful imagery. This imagery can then be translated into a powerful idea that allows the reader to understand and connect with the writer. In terms of Fabian’s critique, Casey’s writing made it feel as though he was distant from the Huaorani. It seems as though Casey is the observer and the Huaorani are the *Other*. This is because the way Casey writes about the Huaorani (he only gives straight facts and information about the Huaorani).